# ABSENCE OF PHONON-INDUCED LOCALIZATION IN POLARON SYSTEMS

#### Bernd Gerlach

Institut für Physik der Universität Dortmund
Otto-Hahn Str., D-4600 Dortmund 50, Germany

#### Hartmut Löwen

Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität München Theresienstr. 37, D-8000 München 2, Germany

We prove that the ground-state wave function of an optical polaron is delocalized for any coupling strength. This result holds true for arbitrary spatial dimensions, anisotropic coupling and certain band-structures, deviating from the parabolic case. In addition, an extension to the (Wannier) exciton-phonon problem is possible.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND DISCUSSION OF THE PROBLEM

The standard polaron model is defined by a Hamiltonian H, which was firstly proposed by Fröhlich, Pelzer and Zienau [1]. Using  $\hbar\omega$  and  $\sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$  as units of energy and length, m and  $\omega$  being the electron mass and an arbitrary frequency, H reads as follows:

$$(\hbar\omega)^{-1}H := \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2} + \int d^3k \,\omega(\vec{k}) \,a^+(\vec{k}) \,a(\vec{k}) + \sqrt{\alpha} \int d^3k \,g(\vec{k}) \left[\exp(i\vec{k}\vec{r}) \,a(\vec{k}) + h.c.\right] \tag{1}$$

On the right-hand side of (1), all quantities are dimensionless. In detail,  $\vec{p}$  and  $\vec{q}$  are momentum operator and position of the electron;  $\vec{k}$ ,  $\omega(\vec{k})$ ,  $a^+(\vec{k})$ ,  $a(\vec{k})$  are wave vector, dispersion, creation- and annihilation-operator of a phonon. Finally,  $g(\vec{k})$  is the electron-phonon coupling and  $\alpha$  the coupling constant. One should notice, that H is defined on a product Hilbert-space  $\mathcal{H}$ , namely

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_{Ph} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E}$$
 (2)

where  $\mathcal{H}_{Ph}$  denotes the usual Fock-space for phonons and  $\mathcal{H}_{\Xi}$  the one-particle Hilbert-space.

We now turn to the localization problem. To begin with, we fix the precise meaning of the heading "localized". A polaron wave function  $\Psi$  is called localized, if  $\Psi$  is an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  i.e. normalizable with respect to the electron and phonon part. In any other case,  $\Psi$  is called delocalized. Let us specifically discuss the question, whether there exists a localized ground-state  $\Psi_0$  of H. H commutes with the operator  $\overrightarrow{P}$  of total momentum, that is

$$\vec{P} := \vec{p} + \int d^3k \ a^+(\vec{k}) \ a(\vec{k}) =: \vec{p} + \vec{P}_{Ph}$$
 (3)

Consequently, there exist simultaneous eigenfunctions of  $\vec{P}$  and H. Now, the general eigenfunction of  $\vec{P}$  with eigenvalue  $\vec{Q}$  is given by

$$\chi(\vec{Q}) := \exp(i[\vec{Q} - \vec{P}_{Ph}]\vec{r})\Phi_{Ph} \quad , \qquad \Phi_{Ph} \in \mathcal{H}_{Ph}$$
 (4)

As it is sufficient to solve for  $HX(\vec{Q}) = E(\vec{Q})X(\vec{Q})$ , we arrive at

$$H(\vec{Q}) \chi(\vec{Q}) = E(\vec{Q}) \chi(\vec{Q})$$
 , (5)

$$H(\vec{Q}) := \frac{1}{2} (\vec{Q} - \vec{P}_{Ph})^2 + \int d^3k \, \omega(\vec{k}) \, a^+(\vec{k}) \, a(\vec{k}) + \sqrt{\alpha} \int d^3k \, g(\vec{k}) \, [a(\vec{k}) + a^+(\vec{k})]$$
 (6)

We add as a remark, that  $H(\vec{Q})$  defines the momentum decomposition of the Hamiltonian  $\widetilde{H}$ , introduced by Lee, Low, Pines [2]. In fact, replacing  $\vec{Q}$  in  $H(\vec{Q})$  by the momentum operator  $\vec{p}$ , one finds  $\widetilde{H}$ .

In view of equ. (4) , it appears not at all clear, whether there exists a single localized eigenfunction of H - for a given value of  $\vec{Q}$ ,  $\chi(\vec{Q})$  is apparently delocalized. We discuss this point a bit more: If  $\alpha$  is sufficiently small, we know from perturbation theory, that the ground-state  $\Psi_0$  of H is of type  $\chi(\vec{Q}=\vec{0})$ . Consequently, the ground-state energy  $E_0(\vec{Q})$  fulfills

in a certain surrounding of  $\alpha=0$ . Let us tentatively assume, that (7) was not true for  $\alpha>\alpha_c$ . Then one could deduce i): The ground-state is infinitely degenerated, as  $E_0(\vec{Q})$  depends only on  $|\vec{Q}|$ . ii): If the minimum of  $E_0(\vec{Q})$  occurs for a subset of  $\vec{Q}$ -vectors with different length, a suitable superposition of the corresponding eigenfunctions might yield a localized state.

## 2. RESULTS AND INDICATION OF THEIR PROOF

Our central statement excludes the above speculations i) and ii). We show: Let  $\omega(\vec{k}) \ge \omega_0 > 0$  and  $\int d^3k \, |g(\vec{k})|^2 / (1+k^2) < \infty$ . Then, inequality (7) holds for  $0 \le \alpha < \infty$ . Consequently, the ground-state  $\Psi_0$  of H is nondegenerate and delocalized for any coupling strength.

The proof of this statement is based on the following two theorems:

- i) Let H be a Hamiltonian, defined on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal H$  and bounded from below, the ground-state energy being  $E_0$ . Choose a fixed representation of  $\mathcal H$ . If  $E_0$  is an eigenvalue and  $\exp(-H)$  is positivity improving in this representation, then  $E_0$  is a simple eigenvalue.
- ii) Let  $H=H_0+V$  and choose a fixed representation of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that V is a multiplication operator and that there exists a sequence of bounded multiplication operators  $V_n$  such that  $H_0+V_n\to H$  and  $H-V_n\to H_0$  in a strong resolvent sense. Then  $\exp(-H)$  is positivity improving, if this is true for  $\exp(-H_0)$ . As for proofs, see ref. [3].

We apply these theorems to the Hamiltonian  $\widetilde{H}$  of Lee, Low and Pines (see equ. (6) and the remarks thereafter) and start with theorem i). Our assumptions guarantee the boundedness of  $\widetilde{H}$  from below. To assure that  $E_0$  is an eigenvalue, we confine the electron to a box of finite volume – as for this technical point we refer to [4]. Finally, we have to establish the positivity-improving property. This will be done by means of theorem ii): If we choose the Fröhlich interaction term to be V, it is well known that V is a multiplication operator in the position-representation of  $\mathcal{H}_{Ph}$ ; so we use this representation. Moreover, the existence of operators  $V_n$  was proven by J. Fröhlich in [5]. Turning to  $\exp(-H_0)$ , where  $H_0 := \widetilde{H} - V$ , we realize that the free phonon part is positivity improving with respect to the phonon and positivity preserving with respect to the electron coordinates. In a final step we use a Gaussian linearization for the remaining term in  $H_0$ , involving  $[\overrightarrow{P} - \overrightarrow{P}_{Ph}]^2$ :

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\vec{p} - \vec{P}_{Ph}\right]^2\right) = (2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \int d^3\lambda \exp(-\lambda^2/2) \exp(i\vec{\lambda}\vec{p}) \exp(-i\vec{\lambda}\vec{P}_{Ph})$$
 (8)

We choose a position representation also for  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{E}}$ . Then the right-hand side of (8) is positivity improving with respect to the electron- and positivity preserving with respect to the phonon coordinates.

In summary,  $\exp(-H)$  is positivity improving. Consequently, the ground-state eigenvalue of H is simple and belongs to  $\vec{Q} = \vec{0}$ .

#### 3. EXTENSIONS

To begin with, we mention that the above proof is valid for arbitrary spatial dimensions as well as for anisotropic electron-phonon coupling, provided the conditions on  $\omega(\vec{k})$  and  $g(\vec{k})$  from section two are fulfilled. Moreover, a coupling of the electron to several phonon branches is admissible. A (Wannier) exciton-phonon system can be treated in a similar manner as a free polaron. In this case, we have instead of equ. (2):  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{Ph} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{H}$  where "H" is to indicate the hole. Choosing a position representation for  $\mathcal{H}_{H}$ , the proof from section two can be transferred. We stress the particularly interesting result that the center-of-mass part of the wave function is always delocalized; no self-trapping occurs. As for details see [4].

Very interesting problems (far from being solved) are connected with the discussion of a nonparabolic band-structure  $\epsilon(\vec{p})$ . Mimicking the above proof, equation (8) would contain the Fourier-transform  $f(\vec{\lambda})$  of  $\exp(-\epsilon(\vec{p}))$  instead of  $\exp(-\lambda^2/2)$ . If  $f(\vec{\lambda})$  was positive, all conclusions would be unchanged. This is true for

$$\varepsilon(\vec{p}) = ap^{\nu}$$
 ,  $a > 0$  ,  $0 < \nu \le 2$  (9)

(see Montroll, Shlesinger in [6]). The case  $\nu > 2$  cannot be included. Unfortunately, the same holds true for the physically appealing example  $\epsilon(\vec{p}) = a\,p^2 + b\,p^4$ , a > 0, b > 0 (see Simon in [7]) and many other cases  $\epsilon = \epsilon(|\vec{p}|)$ , which can be found by direct inspection of tables of Fourier sine transforms.

## 4. REFERENCES

- [1] H. Fröhlich, H. Pelzer and S. Zienau, Phil. Mag. 41, 221 (1950)
- [2] T.D. Lee, F.E. Low and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. <u>90</u>, 297 (1953)
- [3] M. Reed, B. Simon: Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. IV, chapter XIII. Academic Press, New York 1978
- [4] B. Gerlach and H. Löwen, Phys. Rev. B 37, 8042 (1988)
- [5] J. Fröhlich, Fortschritte der Physik 22, 159 (1974)
- [6] E.W. Montroll and M.F. Shlesinger, Journ. Stat. Phys. 32, 209 (1983)
- [7] B. Simon, Helv. Phys. Acta 46, 686 (1973)